



UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

May 7, 1974

IV E 10
(49)

Jim:

Will you please hold a meeting soon to discuss the preparation of a report to be available for the Commission meeting next Monday? The meeting might include Walter, Lottie and myself among the troops.

In working with the Division of Inspection, Inspection has assumed responsibility for the inquiry into the AEC role since the latter has involved DBM personnel almost entirely. An inquiry by our own people into the AEC involvement would constitute a conflict of interest. The interest of Division of Inspection is largely in policies established by DBM during 1947 but will include discussions with more recent personnel. Inspection is now preparing a report on the AEC role that will specify names, quote documents and people, etc.

The following questions regarding our report need to be addressed:

1. Should the report be brief and cryptic such as a reworking of the paper sent to the Commission last week?
2. Should the report go into the relevant issues more thoroughly while only mentioning people by title or function in their organizations and with no supporting documents?
3. Should the report be of the length envisioned in 2. while mentioning people by initials such as Dr. F., again with no supporting documents?
4. Should the report be of length as in 2. while mentioning names and including selected relevant documents such as letters and interview reports? *to conform with the Division of Inspection report?*

If you have a definite preference for any one of the above options, the meeting may not be necessary.

Sid

0000192